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**Study Design.** A prospective, cohort evaluation of 262 consecutive patients who underwent transforaminal endoscopic excision for recurrent lumbar disc herniation, after previous discectomy.

**Objective.** To review complications and results of the endoscopic transforaminal discectomy (ETD) for recurrent herniated disc with a 2-year follow-up.

**Summary of Background Data.** Recurrent herniation is a significant problem, as scar formation and progressive disc degeneration may lead to increased morbidity after traditional posterior reoperation. The studies published until now on recurrent disc herniation concern various operative techniques, mostly the lumbar microdiscectomy, which is still seen as the standard. The advantage of ETD could be that there is no need to go through the old scar tissue and the procedure can be performed in local anesthesia. The disadvantage may be a long learning curve for the surgeon.

**Method.** Between January 1994 and November 2002, 262 patients with primarily radicular problems underwent an ETD for a recurrent herniated disc. Two hundred and thirty-eight of these patients (90.84%) completed our 2-year follow-up questionnaire. Initial surgery of 82 patients was performed in-hospital, 180 external. Average age was 46.4 years. The female/male ratio was 29/71.

**Results.** At 2-year follow-up 85.71% of patients rated the result of the surgery as excellent or good. 9.66% reported a fair and 4.62% patients an unsatisfactory result. Average improvement of back pain of 5.71 points and 5.86 points of leg pain on the VAS scale (1-10). According to Mac Nab, 30.67% of the patients felt fully regenerated, 50% felt their functional capacity to be slightly restricted, 16.81% felt their functional capacity noticeably restricted, and 2.52% felt unimproved or worse. All patients participated in a 3-month follow-up to establish the perioperative complications. The overall complication rate was 10/262 (3.8%), including 3 nerve root irritations and 7 early recurrent herniations (<3 month). There was no case of infection or discitis.

After 3 months and within 2 years, 4 patients have been treated for a recurrent herniated disc in our own center and 7 patients have been treated elsewhere, resulting in a recurrence rate 11/238 (4.62%).

**Conclusion.** ETD for recurrent disc herniation seems to be an effective method with few complications and a high patient satisfaction.
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Compression of the neurologic elements of the lumbar spine is a clear indication for surgical decompression. At present, it seems that microdiscectomy is distinguished worldwide as the standard for the decompression of a radicular syndrome caused by disc herniation. The evaluation of the results of disc discectomy is complicated. The primary indication is leg-pain, and besides the complication rate, the absence or improvement of leg-pain is the most important outcome parameter for the patient. The potential increase of back-pain is probably the second most important parameter for the overall satisfaction; therefore, the visual analog scale (VAS) for back pain,VAS for radicular pain and the subjective satisfaction, and judgment of the patient should be the main parameter to rate the result of HNP-surgery.

The rate of revision after a lumbar discectomy is a recognized objective measure of the failure of primary surgery and is included in many outcome studies. A recurrent herniation after a lumbar discectomy has been reported in 5% to 18% of the patients and depends on the duration of the follow-up. The rate of recurrent disc herniation requiring repeat operation, however, quote percentages with differences in the length of follow-up and analyzed mixed patient populations, including patients with other diagnoses than a true recurrent disc herniation (e.g., spinal stenosis, herniation at a new level, perineural fibrosis, or failed back surgery). Studies on recurrent disc herniation published until now concern various operative techniques, which makes comparison of the effect of these different operations difficult.

Since 1963, new, less invasive decompressive procedures for herniated disc have been developed by the introduction of chymopapain by Smith, later Hijiikata and Craig developed the closed percutaneous nucleotomy and in 1987, the percutaneous laser-nucleolyzers have been introduced for the decompression of a lumbar disc herniation. The technique has been evolved with a transforaminal access to the herniation site, and in addition, endoscopes were introduced to visualize the intraforaminal nerve-root. In 1994, Hoogland in-
introduced new instrumentation enabling the enlargement of the foramen with special reamers, so that the anterior spinal canal could be made accessible for endoscope and instruments.24 At that point, all types of disc herniations became accessible for the lateral-percutaneous approach.

Whether this technique would also be suitable for recurrent herniations was unclear until now. In theory, it would be an advantage not having to go through the old scar tissue and not requiring general anesthesia. Until now, there are only a few small studies available about the applicability of the endoscopic transforaminal discectomy (ETD) for recurrent herniations.25-27

It was our objective to assess complications and results of the ETD for recurrent herniated disc with a 2-year follow-up in 238 patients.

## Materials

With a prospectively cohort study, we reviewed the data from all patients who underwent an ETD for a recurrent herniated disc in our clinic between January 1, 1994, until November 1, 2002. From the 2717 endoscopic procedures in our center for a herniated lumbar disc in this time period, 322 patients had a recurrent herniated disc; 262 of those patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Previous surgery, regardless the type of operation and number of preoperations, had been performed in 82 patients by the senior author and elsewhere in 180 patients (N = 262). Before their rediscexomy, 194 patients had a microscopic disc surgery and 68 patients had had endoscopic spine surgery. The average time interval between the first and the repeat surgery is presented in Table 2.

The average age was 46.4 years (18–80 years). Twenty-nine percent of the patient were female, 71% were male. The preponderance of men is in accordance with other published papers on herniated disc operations.28 One surgeon carried out all operations. The operated levels are presented in Table 3.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) recurrences that developed as a new lumbar disc herniation with at least a 6-month pain-free interval at the same level, (2) primarily radicular symptoms with an acute onset, (3) signs of nerve entrapment, (4) correlating neurodiagnostic symptoms, and (5) correlating positive MRI-findings.

## Methods

All patients were treated as a day-case or with 1 overnight stay. All patients underwent a follow-up examination on the next day. The procedure was performed in local anesthesia, intravenous analgesia with opioid medication and 2 to 10 mg midazolam sedation, with the patient lying on the unaffected side on a radiolucent table in the operating suite. The back of the patient was disinfected, and a sterile screen-drape was applied. A biplane fluoroscopy was used for radiographic imaging. Then, the entrance point was determined with a metal rod that was projected with imaging guiding towards the isthmus of the upper lamina of the involved level. Depending on the size of the patient, gender, and level, the entrance point was located at the L5–S1 level at 12 to 16 cm from the midline, at the L4–L5 level at 11 to 14 cm from the midline, at the L3–L4 level 8 to 10 cm from the midline, and at L2–L3 level 7 to 9 cm from the midline. A standard endoscopic transforaminal procedure was performed with widening of the foramen.29,30 At all times at the end of the procedure, the freed nerve-root could be identified and it always could be visualized that the nerve-root was corresponding with the heart-rate (not with the breathing-rate). Periradicular scar tissue was left undisturbed.

## Follow-up

The senior author and surgeon were not involved in patient assignment to the study nor with the evaluation of the questionnaires. All 262 patients had a 3-month follow-up, at which time patients' satisfaction and all complications were registered. In 251 patients, a postoperative MRI at 3 months was evaluated for dural cyst or myelomeningocele. From the total study population of 262 patients who underwent an ETD for a recurrent herniated disc, 238 patients completed the 2-year follow-up questionnaire (response rate 91%). All patients were evaluated respective the following criteria: (a) body capacity rating (according to MacNab,31 Table 4), (b) leg-pain level according to the 10 point VAS, (c) Back-pain according to the 10 point VAS, (d) subjective patient satisfaction, grading the result of the operation as: excellent, good, fair, or unsatisfied, (e) subjective grading of sensibility disturbances, (f) subjective grading of leg-strength (g) recurrence rate, (h) sport activities grading, and an (i) individual analysis of the complications were added.

## Results

The results are presented in Table 2. The operations were performed in an average of 15 minutes (range 5–25 minutes).

## Discussion

The main advantage of the ETD procedure is that the operation is performed under local anesthesia. The patient is in a supine position on a radiolucent table. The procedure is minimally invasive and results in a reduced operating time compared to conventional microdiscectomy. The primary objective of this study was to assess the outcomes of the ETD procedure in recurrent herniated discs. The results showed that the procedure is effective in relieving pain and improving neurological symptoms. However, there were some limitations to the study, such as the small sample size and the lack of a control group. Nevertheless, the study suggests that the ETD procedure may be a viable option for the treatment of recurrent herniated discs. Further research with larger sample sizes and controlled trials is needed to confirm these findings.
Table 4. MacNab\textsuperscript{31} Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>No pain; no restriction of activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Occasional back or leg pain not interfering with the patient’s ability to do his or her normal work, or to enjoy leisure activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Improved functional capacity, but handicapped by intermittent pain of sufficient severity to curtail or modify work or leisure activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>No improvement or insufficient improvement to enable an increase in activities or further operative intervention required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reasons for noncompliance (24 patients) of the 2-year questionnaire were unknown in 13 cases, 8 had moved without providing a new address, 1 didn’t wish to answer any further questionnaires, 1 patient passed away (no relation to his back surgery), 1 patient committed suicide. Of these 24 patients who were not followed up, the subjective satisfaction after 3 months had been 79% (totally) satisfied, 12.5% reported a fair result and 8.5% an unsatisfactory result.

At the 3 months clinical follow-up (N = 262), the peri- and postoperative complications were evaluated via questionnaire, and in 251 cases, a 3-month postoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was evaluated. None of the 251 MRI studies showed either a dural cyst or myelomeningocele. There were no cases of dural tears or spinal fluid leaks. Three patients reported a postoperative nerve root irritation; in all 3 cases, the compressed transversing nerve root was involved, not the healthy exiting nerve root; 2 were successfully treated with physiotherapy and NSAIDs; 1 lasted for 11 months, despite conservative treatment and disappeared after a nerve block. There were no cases of infection or discitis. Six patients had an early (within 3 months) recurrent lumbar disc herniation (6/262 = 3.44%), 1 patient after 1 day (because he slipped in the shower), 1 patient after 6 days, 1 patient after 12 days, 2 patients after 4 weeks, and 1 patient after 12 weeks. Four patients were reoperated by means of microscopic decompression (3 successfully) and 2 patients were successfully treated with an endoscopic reoperation. However, it is not completely certain that these early cases were persistent nerve compression symptoms from inadequate removal of extruded/protruded disc material. We do not believe that the early cases were recurrences, because intraoperatively in all cases a pulsating freed nerve root was observed; all patients had a negative SLR at the postoperative check up on the next day and all had a pain-free interval, except for the patient that fell in the bathtub.

Two-Year Postoperative Questionnaire Results

Body Capacity Rating According to MacNab\textsuperscript{31} (n = 238). Seventy-three patients reported an excellent result with a fully regained body capacity (30.67%), 119 patients reported a good result with minor restrictions (50%), 40 patients reported a fair result with restrictions in their body capacity (16.8%), and 6 patients reported poor results with no or insufficient improvement (2.5%). According to the Mac Nab criteria, a satisfactory result was also registered in 97%.

Leg Pain After 2 Years (n = 238). An average improvement of leg pain of 5.85 points on the VAS was recorded (from 8.46 preoperative to 2.61 after surgery).

0.004226 + standard deviation pre 1.62 post 2.27

Back Pain After 2 Years (n = 238). Two hundred and thirty patients recorded an average improvement of their back pain of 5.71 points on the VAS (from 8.56 preoperative to 2.85 after surgery).

0.004226 + standard deviation pre 1.49 post 2.21

Subjective Satisfaction of the Patients (n = 238). Two hundred and four patients reported an excellent or good result (85.71%), 23 patients reported a fair result (9.66%), and 11 patients reported an unsatisfactory result (4.62%) (Figure 1). Of the patients who were unsatisfied, 1 required subsequent microscopic decompression after 6 days, 1 had new complaints after an accident and needed an endoscopic operation in another level, another patient had a microscopic decompression after 1.5 years in our center, 3 patients were treated surgically elsewhere, 3 patients reported that they were still having pain, predominantly leg pain with a restricted or worsened body capacity, and 2 patients didn’t indicate any reasons.

Subjective Grading of Sensibility Disturbances (Numbness in the Leg) (n = 141). One hundred and eighteen patients reported numbness as vanished or better (83.69%), 22 patients reported unchanged results (16.6%), and 1 patient reported that his numbness worsened (0.71%).

Strength in the Leg (n = 111). Ninety-seven patients reported that their quadriceps, calf, or foot-extensor weakness had vanished or significantly improved (87.5%), 13 patients reported unchanged weakness (12%), and 1 patient reported that his calf weakness deteriorated (1%).

Recurrence Rate. Early recurrences within 3 months were considered as postoperative complications and, as such, discussed. Eleven patients have been treated for a (true) recurrent herniation after 3 months and within 2 years (recurrence rate, 11/238 = 4.62%), 4 patients were treated in our own center (3 with an ETD plus abrasion and 1 with a microscopic decompression), and 7 patients were treated elsewhere (1 with an ETD, 1 fusion, 5 times microscopic decompression). On average, recurrence occurred at 1.07 years in the 2-year period after redisectomy surgery.
Sport Activity Grading. One hundred and forty four patients participated in recreational sports before the recurrence. After surgery, 158 patients resumed recreational sport activities and 10 patients didn’t return to their former sport activities. More than 50% could resume their sporting activities within 3 months. Eighty percent of the patients had returned to their sport activities after 4 months. Sixty-nine percent with the same intensity and frequency they were used to. Twenty-four of the 118 patients who had not been involved in recreational sport activities before their second surgery did take up sports activities after their rediscectomy and 10 of the 144 sport active patients did not resume their sport activities.

Unsatisfied Patients
Of the patients who were unsatisfied, 1 had subsequent microscopic decompression after 6 days, 1 had new complaints after an accident and underwent endoscopic reoperation in another level, another patient had an microscopic decompression (for stenosis) after 1.5 years in our center, 3 patients where treated operatively elsewhere, 3 patients reported that they were still having pain, predominantly leg pain with a restricted or worsened body capacity, and 2 patients didn’t report any specific reason for their unsatisfied status.

Discussion
Discussion Outcome Measurement
As Professor Schultz, a leading spine capacity in Europe, in 2004 wrote in the European Spine Journal, in his comment on evaluating standard nucleotomy for lumbar disc herniation, long-term articles are difficult to compare because of the different methods used and because of the lack of initial outcome. He questioned how appropriate the tools of measuring the effectiveness of nucleotomy are. For outcome, he suggested measuring the value of nerve-root decompression 1 to 2 years after the operation, when the healing process in completed. Furthermore, traditional surgical outcome measures of a single rating scale (excellent, good, fair, poor), like MacNab’s classification, other authors’ personal evaluation scores and various unvalidated questionnaires are no longer sufficient. Reliable predictors of surgery outcome are symptoms of leg and back pain VAS and patient satisfaction. Additionally, we tried to evaluate patient satisfaction also by careful registration of their sport activities, and we have demonstrated in this study that more patients were active in sports after surgery than they had been before the recurrence of their herniation.

Scar Tissue/Fibrosis
Many patients and nonsurgeons continue to discuss the role of scar tissue and fibrosis in persistent or recurrent radicular pain after discectomy, but there is no scientific evidence of the role of epidural fibrosis in recurrent sciatica after previous discectomy. However, Jonsson reported prevalence of pain on coughing severely reduced walking capacity and a straight leg raising test less than 30° as indicative of recurrence herniation over fibrosis. It is obvious that the lateral transfemoralinal approach in ETD bypasses the previous dorsal part of the scar tissue and reduces the risk of dural tears. In our series of 262 patients, no dural tears had to be treated. In 11 cases during the surgery, a spinal fluid leak was suspected, but no treatment was installed, and no dural leaks after surgery occurred or meningoceles or dural cysts in the surgical area were observed in the postoperative MRI scans that were obtained on almost all patients. The incidence of dural tears requiring treatment in dorsal-and micro-discectomy is about 10%. The lateral transfemoralinal approach creates a working tunnel that leads to the prolapsed or sequestered disc material. In case of sequestered or extruded disc material, this is as the first structure in the spinal channel identified at the end of the working cannula that is positioned in the tunnel created by the reamers. This compressing disc material is removable without interference with scar tissue. After removal of the extruded material, the nerve can be inspected and basically old scar tissue laterally and ventrally is left alone. In case of an encapsulated or scar-covered prolapse, the prolapse is perforated underneath the nerve and the prolapsed disc material is removed, until the nerve is decompressed. The ultimate indicator for a sufficiently decompressed nerve with or without more or less scar tissue is the pulsation of the nerve root with the heart rate. This was always observed at the end of the procedure. In comparison, dorsal reintervention requires scar-removal and tedious mobilization and retraction of the compressed nerve root before the offending disc tissue can be reached and removed.

Furthermore, it can be concluded that after lateral ETD the recurrence and extension of postoperative scar tissue is extensively less than in the dorsal techniques.

Comparison With Open, Dorsal-(Micro-) Discectomy
The majority of the spine surgeon community does consider microdiscectomy to be the gold standard operative treatment for lumbar disc herniation, and probably also for recurrent herniation that is not adequately responding to conservative treatment.

In our procedure, we did not specifically deal with fibrosis and always removed protruded, extruded or sequestered disc material under the compressed/irritated nerve root with video endoscopic documentation. At times, a widening of the bony part of the foramen up to the spinal canal is performed with the ETD technique (Hoogland-Schubert); therefore, a stenotic compartment was more or less addressed, but the primary goal was the removal of disc material. Nowadays, however, all types of disc herniations are accessible for the lateral-percutaneous approach with the ETD. Yeung et al. reported the outcome and complications in 307 cases of posterolateral endoscopic discectomies for primarily HNP with a minimal follow up of 1 year (average follow up was 19 months). They reported an 83.6% excellent and good result and a 9.3% rate of poor results. Their reoperation rate was 5%. These results are comparable with the results in our group of ETD in patients with a primary herniated disc. In this study, we assessed
the efficacy of ETD for recurrent herniations with a success rate of 86%.

So far, only a few small studies have been published about the results of an ETD for a recurrent herniated disc. Ahn et al. studied retrospectively 43 consecutive patients who got a posterolateral endoscopic laser assisted disc excision for a recurrent herniated disc operated with a conventional open discectomy. 81.4% of the patients showed excellent or good outcomes and the VAS decreased significantly, but the study population was small. Le et al. reported 90% excellent or good results when performing microendoscopic discectomy compared with historical controls in which conventional open surgery. Isaacs et al. assessed only 10 consecutive patients undergoing microendoscopic rediscectomy prospectively and compared with the previous 25 who underwent routine single-level microendoscopic discectomy, and concluded that this method can be safely performed for recurrent disc herniation without an increase in surgery-related morbidity.

In this study, we demonstrate the good results of the ETD for a recurrent herniated disc with a 2-year follow-up. With an 85.12% excellent or good success-rate, we consider the ETD to be a method with few complications and very suitable for recurrent disc herniations, regardless of the primary procedure was endoscopically or microscopically operated.

Initially it was also our objective to compare our ETD procedure with studies published in the literature for a recurrent herniated disc operated with other techniques like open and microdiscectomy. Unfortunately, the studies available are hard to compare. For example, the study from Jerosch et al. described also patient satisfaction after a lumbar disc surgery and they reported 40% excellent or good, 40% fair and 20% poor result. However, the follow-up period ranged from 19 until 42 years, different operation techniques have been used in the primary and revision operation and some patients have been reoperated several times. Suk reported on the retrospective results in 28 patients treated by standard open discectomy with a 71% success rate. As this paper was published in Spine in 2001, no follow-up period was mentioned and the data probably collected at discharge after surgery. Morgan-Hough reported on open rediscectomies in 42 patients with a 19.1% complication rate including 2 chest-infections after general anesthesia, 14% dural tears, and 1 pseudomeningocele. Jönsson et al. reported on a 2-year follow up after decompresions in 19 reoperations, 16 excellent results without specification of the scoring criteria. Haglund reviewed 55 patients retrospectively after second microdiscectomy over a 4-year period and reported 86% complete or partial relief of all symptoms. In terms of efficacy, the superiority of 1 procedure over the other can only be proven by a multicenter randomized controlled trial. From a practical standpoint, however, such a comparative study does not seem to be feasible.

This study demonstrates that ETD has a good efficacy for recurrent herniated disc, or might even exceed the results of microdiscectomy and most likely results in fewer complications. There seem to be certain advantages of ETD over microdiscectomy:

- No need for general anesthesia,
- Less/no cases of iatrogenic neurologic damage,
- Smaller risk of infection,
- A direct approach to the extruded disc-fragment,
- Only minimal disturbance of the intracanal capsular structures, and
- No interference of scar tissue to reach the protruded or extruded recurrent herniated tissue in cases of previous microdorsal-discectomy.

**Key Points**

- Endoscopic transforaminal discectomy carries a very low complication rate.
- Endoscopic transforaminal discectomy seems effective for recurrent disc herniation.
- Endoscopic transforaminal discectomy can successfully be performed in local anesthesia.
- There is a low recurrence rate after endoscopic transforaminal discectomy.
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